

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,920	06/20/2003	Chanro Park	2003 P 50817 US 6232 EXAMINER		
25962 . 7	590 03/29/2004				
SLATER & MATSIL, L.L.P.			ROCCHEGIANI, RENZO		
17950 PRESTO DALLAS, TX	ON RD, SUITE 1000 75252-5793		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
21122110, 111			2825	2825	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/600,920	PARK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	Renzo N. Rocchegiani	2825	Par			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	<u>une 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
	-					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminor				
) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• •	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the second	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/600,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9-10, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,703,676 B2 (Hirai et al.) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0216018 A1 (Yamada et al.).

Hirai et al. disclose a process to from a magnetic memory device comprising the steps of patterning the bottom electrode (item 25) prior to depositing the soft layer material (item 18). Hirai et al. further disclose that the device formation method may include additional initial steps of providing a workpiece (items 1-6), depositing a first insulating layer (item 7) and forming a plurality of first conductive lines (item 9) within the insulating layer, wherein the bottom electrode is formed over one of the conductive lines (Fig. 10B). In addition, Hirai et al. disclose forming a second dielectric layer (item 11) over the first dielectric layer and conductive lines and patterning the second insulating layer and filling the patterns with conductive material (items 10 and 14) that makes an electrical connection with the bottom portion of the magnetic memory cell portion (Fig. 11B) and a third insulating layer (item 22) with a plurality of conductive lines (Fig. 18A, item 21) therein wherein one line makes an electrical contact with the top portion of the magnetic memory cell (Fig. 17B).

Application/Control Number: 10/600,920

Art Unit: 2825

Hirai et al. do not disclose patterning the bottom electrode by using an patterned etch mask, made of an oxide material and about 1000 to 5000 Angstroms thick, that is etched while the bottom electrode is patterned.

Yamada et al. teach the patterning of an electrode (item 4) using an etch mask (item 5) such as a silicon oxide [0031] with an adjusted thickness [0036], that is patterned over the electrode (Fig. 5B) and then etched while patterning the electrode (Fig. 6B and [0036]).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Yamada et al. to those of Hirai et al., since Yamada et al. teach that by patterning the electrode using the pattern etch mask they prevent the problem of overetching the electrode.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to make the patterned mask 1000 to 5000 Angstroms thick, since been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

3. Claims 4-8 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,703,676 B2 (Hirai et al.) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0216018 A1 (Yamada et al.) and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,518,588 B1 (Parkin et al.).

As stated in paragraph 2, all the limitations of the claims have been met except

for teaching that the bottom electrode comprises a Ta layer, a TaN layer and an PtMn layer and their respective thicknesses.

Parkin et al. teach the formation of a magnetic memory cell wherein a bottom electrode contact material may comprise a Ta layer, a TaN layer and a PtMn layer. (items 52, 54 and 41 and col. 3, lines 42-60). Parkin et al. also teaches that the Ta layer may be 50 angstroms and the TaN layer may be 100 angstrom thick. (col. 4, lines 59-63)

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to form the bottom electrode material to comprise Ta, TaN and PtMn, since Hirai et al. teaches forming the electrode of Ti or TiN and Parkin et al. teach that tantalum material may be used in place of titanium material, and since the combination taught in Parkin et al. would result in thermal stability and low resistivity. (col. 2, lines 25-30 and col. 5, lines 35-50).

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to make these layers of the desired thickness, since been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,703,676 B2 (Hirai et al.) in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0216018 A1 (Yamada et al.) and in further view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0026369 A1 (Ying et al.).

As stated in paragraph 2, all the limitations of these claims have been met except

Application/Control Number: 10/600,920

Art Unit: 2825

for teaching that the soft material layer is patterned using a hard mask deposited over

Page 5

the soft material after the hard mask has been patterned.

Ying et al. teach a process to form magnetic memory cells wherein the soft

material layer is etched by depositing a hard mask layer, patterning the hard mask and

using it as an etch mask. (Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the specific art to use a

hard mask layer to etch the magnetic material, i.e. soft material, since Ying et al. teach

that using a hard mask layer improves etch selectivity and reduces residue. [0005 –

0007].

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Renzo Rocchegiani whose telephone number is (571)

272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30

am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-1907. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 872-9306.

RNR

March 10, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800